1 Yet Another Consensus Protocol

Consider three threads, A, B, and C, each of which has a MRSW register, X_A , X_B , and X_C , that it alone can write and the others can read. In addition, each pair shares a RMWRegister register that provides only a compareAndSet() method: A and B share R_{AB} , B and C share R_{BC} , and A and C share R_{AC} . Only the threads that share a register can call that register's compareAndSet() method or read its value.

- Sketch an impossibility proof why this construction cannot be used to solve consensus between A, B, and C.
- Assume now that A, B, and C can apply a double compareAndSet() to both registers at once they share with the other threads. Is it now possible to solve consensus?

2 Sticky Bits

Objects of the StickyBit class have three possible states: \perp , 0, 1, initially \perp . A call to write(v), where v is 0 or 1, has the following effects:

- If the object's state is \perp , then it becomes v.
- If the object's state is 0 or 1, then it is unchanged.

A call to read() returns the object's current state.

- 1. Show that such an object can solve wait-free binary consensus (that is, all inputs are 0 or 1) for any number of threads.
- 2. Show that an array of $\log_2 m$ StickyBit objects with atomic registers can solve wait-free consensus for any number of threads when there are m possible inputs. (Hint: You need to give each thread one single-writer, multi-reader atomic register.)